

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/821,648	03/29/2001	Zheng J. Geng	80169-0031 (GNX-31)	5727
20480 75	590 11/28/2003		EXAMI	NER
STEVEN L. NICHOLS			PARSONS, CHARLES E	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAVER PLLC 10653 S. RIVER FRONT PARKWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 150 SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095			2613	4
SOOTH JOKD	AN, U1 64095	•	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		4 8 8			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	09/821,648	GENG, ZHENG J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles E Parsons	2613			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status  1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	–· action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) /-2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edinating of the Edination	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language process.	s have been received. Is have been received in Application in the certified copies not received in Application priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) st sentence of the specification or positional application has been received in priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2613

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nayar.
  - Claim 1, 14, 15 A method for generating a selectable perspective view of a portion of a hemispherical image scene, comprising the steps of:
    acquiring an omnidirectional image on an image plane using a reflective mirror that satisfies a single viewpoint constraint and an image sensor; (See Nayar column 13 lines 10-30)
    defining a perspective viewing window based on configuration parameters; (See column 7 lines 62-65 and column 10 lines 56-65)
    defining a predetermined geometric relationship between the reflective mirror and the image plane; and (See column 9 line 63 through column 10 line 19)
    mapping each pixel in the perspective window with a corresponding pixel value in the omnidirectional image on the image plane using the configuration parameters. (See
  - Claim 2, 18. The method of claim 1, wherein the configuration parameters defined in the defining step include at least one of a zoom distance defined as the distance from the focal point

column 10 line 55 through column 11 line 55 as well as column 12 lines 25-35.)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/821,648

Art Unit: 2613

of said reflective mirror to said window, a pan angle defined as the angle between the x axis and a line through the focal point of said reflective mirror perpendicular to the x-y plane and a tilt angle defined as the angle between the x-y plane and a vector normal to said window. (See column 10 lines 55-65)

- Claim 3, 19. The method of claim 2, wherein the defining step is conducted via a user interface through which a user enters data corresponding to at least one of a desired zoom distance, pan angle, or tilt angle. (See Column 7 lines 62-65)
- Claim 4, 16, 17, 20 The method of claim 1, wherein the mapping step includes the step of generating a mapping matrix by:

  applying a ray tracing algorithm to each pixel in the perspective viewing window to determine a corresponding reflection point on the reflective mirror; and projecting each reflection point to a focal point of the image sensor to determine the corresponding location in the omni directional image on the image plane. (See figures 4, 5, and 6)
- Claim 5, 22, 23. The method of claim 4, further comprising the step of storing the mapping matrix in a module having a memory. (See Nayar figure 1A item 125. Computers all have memory)
- Claim 6, 21. The method of claim 1 wherein the step of defining a perspective viewing window defines the perspective viewing window as a panoramic viewing window. See column 11 lines 25-30)

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2613

4. Claims 7-9, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nayar as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Chahl and Mick.

Page 4

5.

- Claim 7, 24: The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: calculating a residual image based on a difference between a reference omni-directional image and a sequential omni-directional image; determining if the residual image contains any value that exceeds a predetermined threshold; and classifying any value that exceeds the predetermined threshold as an anomaly. (Nayar clearly teaches that his system can be used for surveillance purposes see column 1 lines 25-30. While he is not specific as to his motion detection means Chahl clearly teaches that motion detection can be done in a panoramic surveillance system see column 6 lines 25-32. However, he does not specify how he does his motion detection. However, at the time the invention was made, it was well known in the art of surveillance, that in order to detect video motion, the conventional way was to compare subsequent video images and if a large enough difference in the images is detected, it is considered motion. As proof of the Examiners statements he includes Mick, see abstract. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to include motion detection capabilities in Nayars system in order to adapt it for use in the surveillance application he says it can be used for.)
- Claim 8: The method of claim 7, further comprising the steps of: calculating the configuration parameters for the perspective viewing window from the anomaly; and selectively focusing the perspective viewing window on the anomaly using the calculated configuration parameters. (See Nayar column 10 lines 32-64)
- Claim 9, 25. The method of claim 7, further comprising the step of activating an alarm if at least a portion of the residual image exceeds a predetermined threshold. (See Chahl abstract.)

Art Unit: 2613

- 6. Claim 10-13,26-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nayar as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Baker.
  - Claim 10, 26. The method of claim 1, further comprising the steps of: detecting a location of a sound source in the image scene; and adjusting the perspective viewing window based on the detected location of the sound source. While Nayar does not include this feature, he does state in column 1 line 26 that his system could be used for teleconferencing.

    Baker on the other hand, discloses a teleconferencing imaging system that includes a panoramic imaging means including an audio detection circuit that can locate the source of a sound and image it. See Baker abstract. Therefore, at the time the invention was made it was well known in the art, that imaging the source of a sound was desired, thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to include the audio detection system disclosed by Baker into Nayar's imaging apparatus to make the invention as claimed.
  - Claim 11, 12, 27, 28. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of transmitting the omnidirectional image via the Internet. (At the time the invention was made, use of the
    internet for transmitting images was well known in the art. Once the image is processed
    as in the case of the current invention, data is data, therefore it doesn't matter that the
    image came from an omni-directional imaging means. Never the less, while Nayar is
    silent on use of the Internet, Baker teaches that teleconferencing involves transmitting
    both the audio and video data to a remote site for viewing. See column 1 lines 16-17.
    Since teleconferencing implies use of the phone system, and access to the internet is
    achieved via the phone network, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the
    art to transmit the image via a server or any computer capable of handling the job in
    order to provide a suitable teleconferencing system.)

8 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

Claim 13, 29. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of forming a two way transmission link between the image sensor and a remote display, wherein the two-way transmission link transmits at least one of the omni-directional image, the perspective viewing window, and an audio signal. (Teleconferencing is a two way transmission link.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Parsons whose telephone number is 703-305-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 703-305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

**CEP** 

ANDY RAO PRIMARY EXAMINER